



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/184,600	11/02/98	SITRICK	D STD-1716

GM12/0227

DAVID H. SITRICK
SITRICK & SITRICK
8340 N. LINCOLN AVENUE
STE. 201
SKOKIE IL 60077

EXAMINER
SAGER, M

ART UNIT
3713

PAPER NUMBER
9

DATE MAILED: 02/27/01

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr David Sitruck (3) _____(2) Ex M Sager (4) _____Date of Interview 21 Feb 2001Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: _____Agreement was reached. was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 1, 13, 16, 21, 23Identification of prior art discussed: Bloch, Speckova, OhbaDescription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr Sitruck discussed patentability of claimed invention by presenting differences between with prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has not been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

MARK SAGER
PRIMARY EXAMINER